

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/038,312	11/09/2001	Jun-Il Hong	678-625 (P9633)	7218	
28249 75	590 03/14/2006		EXAMINER		
DILWORTH & BARRESE, LLP			ZHOU, TING		
333 EARLE OVINGTON BLVD. UNIONDALE, NY 11553			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2173		

DATE MAILED: 03/14/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/038,312	HONG, JUN-IL		
Examiner	Art Unit		

			2110	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with th	he correspondence add	iress
THE	REPLY FILED <u>27 February 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION	N FOR ALLOWANCE.	
	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendmer otice of Appeal (with appeal fee	it, affidavit, or other evid ) in compliance with 37	ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a)	$oxed{\boxtimes}$ The period for reply expires $\underline{3}$ months from the mailing date of	the final rejection.		
b)	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the			er is later. In no
	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	).		
been fi CFR 1 above, earned	sions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on iled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a .17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened start if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months a patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  CE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amount of the tattory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate extensi the final Office action; or (2	on fee under 37 ) as set forth in (b)
2. 🗌	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must by NDMENTS	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37	'(e)), to avoid dismissal	of the appeal.
3. 🗍	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a	briof will not be entered	hoosuse
	(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see		because
	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in befappeal; and/or		ly reducing or simplifying	g the issues for
	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	· ·	y rejected claims.	
4 🖂	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		n-Compliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324)
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		Toomphane / intenamen	( ( TOL 024).
s. 🖂	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		rate timely filed amondr	nent canceling
	the non-allowable claim(s).	·	•	· ·
	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro		will be entered and an	explanation of
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
	Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		•	
	Claim(s) rejected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-5</u> .			
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
	DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be	ut before or on the date of filing	a Notice of Appeal will	not be entered
	because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under a	ppeal and/or appellant fa	ails to provide a
10. 🗀	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims af	ter entry is below or atta	ched.
	JEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
11. 🗵	The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application	on in condition for allow	ance because:
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Pap	per No(s)	
	Other: Krewor	endel		
	KIEU D. VU			

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant's arguments have been fully considered, however, they are not persuasive. The applicant argues that Pinard's teaching of altering the cursor indication is not equivalent to registering one of the plurality of functions. The examiner respectfully disagrees. Pinard teaches that there are a plurality of functions associated with a cursor, such as the functions of being a telephone call indicator icon, a message indicator icon, etc.; upon the occurrence of an event, one of the plurality of indicator icons is displayed, associating the cursor with the displayed indicator icon function, as recited in column 1, line 51-column 2, line 10 and column 4, lines 11-55. Therefore, when an event such as the arrival of a phone call or a new message occurs, one of the telephone call indicator function or the message indicator function is associated, or registered, with the cursor via display of the icon indicating the corresponding function. The examiner respectfully maintains that Pinard teaches registering one of the plurality of functions. The applicant's arguments have failed to put the application in condition for allowance, and the claims remain rejected according to the final office action dated 11/25/2005.